

TO-15 or TO-17?

Discussion of pros and cons of two seemingly equivalent methods!

Parameters	TO-15	TO-17
Scope of Testing	<p>VOCs up to C10 or C12</p> <p>Covers light gaseous compounds such as vinyl chloride to Gasoline range organics and full list of VOCs</p>	<p>VOCs covering C5 to C26 or higher</p> <p>Does not cover early eluting light gaseous compounds such as vinyl chloride; however covers broad range of VOCs and SVOCs, such as GRO and Diesel range organics and beyond.</p>
Media	<p>Canisters, 6L, 1L, 500 ml etc.</p> <p>Canister sampling is mainly passive sampling. Very limited scope of customizing rate and time.</p>	<p>Thermal Desorption Tube (TD tube)</p> <p>TD sampling is active sampling through sampling pump. Rate and duration can be customized.</p>
Ease of Use	<p>Requires skills to set up and operate. Many fittings come into play and can cause leaks.</p>	<p>Relatively easy to set up and sample</p>
Reporting Limits	<p>Lower reporting limits are achieved through SIM (selective ion monitoring) analysis.</p>	<p>Low reporting limits can be achieved primarily through running higher sampling volume. SIM analysis is also an additional option</p>
Major Advantage	<p>Testing of Full VOC list with GRO including all standard light end gaseous compounds</p> <p>Passive Sampling</p>	<p>Testing of VOCs (w/o several light end gases)+ GRO + DRO</p> <p>Equipment handling is very easy and occupies very small space</p> <p>Opportunity for customizing TD tubes per project requirement</p>
Major Disadvantage	<p>Equipment handling is cumbersome and occupies larger space.</p> <p>Little scope of customizing media (other than using different sizes)</p>	<p>Yields poor response for light end gaseous compounds.</p> <p>Active Sampling</p>